

Vector Bundles over Multipullback Quantum Complex

Projective Spaces*

Albert Jeu-Liang Sheu[†]

Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, U. S. A.

e-mail: asheu@ku.edu

Abstract

We work on the classification of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective modules over the C^* -algebras $C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T}))$ and $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1})$ of the quantum complex projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T})$ and the quantum spheres \mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1} , and the quantum line bundles L_k over $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T})$, studied by Hajac and collaborators. Motivated by the groupoid approach of Curto, Muhly, and Renault to the study of C^* -algebraic structure, we analyze $C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T}))$, $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1})$, and L_k in the context of groupoid C^* -algebras, and then apply Rieffel's stable rank results to show that all finitely generated projective

*This work was partially supported by the grant H2020-MSCA-RISE-2015-691246-QUANTUM DYNAMICS and the Polish government grant 3542/H2020/2016/2.

[†]The author would like to thank the Mathematics Institute of Academia Sinica for the warm hospitality and support during his visit in the summer of 2017.

modules over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1})$ of rank higher than $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 3$ are free modules. Furthermore, besides identifying a large portion of the positive cone of the K_0 -group of $C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T}))$, we also explicitly identify L_k with concrete representative elementary projections over $C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T}))$.

Keywords: multipullback quantum projective space; multipullback quantum sphere; quantum line bundle; finitely generated projective module; cancellation problem; Toeplitz algebra of polydisk; groupoid C^* -algebra; stable rank; noncommutative vector bundle

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L80; 46L85

1 Introduction

Since the concept of noncommutative geometry first popularized by Connes [5], many interesting examples of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} viewed as the algebra $C(X_q)$ of continuous functions on a virtual quantum space X_q have been constructed with a topological or geometrical motivation, and analyzed in comparison with their classical counterpart. For example, quantum odd-dimensional spheres and associated complex projective spaces have been introduced and studied by Soibelman, Vaksman, Meyer, and others [32, 14] as \mathbb{S}_q^{2n+1} and $\mathbb{C}P_q^n$ via a quantum universal enveloping algebra approach, and by Hajac and his collaborators including Baum, Kaygun, Matthes, Nest, Pask, Sims, Szymański, Zielinski, and others [2, 10, 9, 12] as \mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1} and $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T})$ via a multi-pullback and Toeplitz algebra approach. Actually \mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1} is the untwisted special case of the more general version of θ -twisted spheres $\mathbb{S}_{H,\theta}^{2n+1}$ introduced in [12].

Motivated by Swan's work [30], the concept of a noncommutative vector bundle E_q over a quantum space X_q can be reformulated as a finitely generated projective (left) module $\Gamma(E_q)$ over $C(X_q)$. Based on the strong connection approach to quantum principal bundles [8] for compact quantum groups [34, 35], Hajac and his collaborators introduced quantum line bundles L_k of degree k over $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T})$ as some rank-one projective modules realized as spectral subspaces $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1})_k$ of $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1})$ under a $U(1)$ -action [12]. Besides having the K_0 -group of $C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T}))$ computed, they found that L_k is not stably free unless $k = 0$, extending earlier results for the case of $n = 1$ [10, 11].

It has always been an interesting but challenging task to classify finitely generated pro-

jective modules over an algebra up to isomorphism, which goes beyond their classification up to stable isomorphism by K_0 -group and appears in the form of so-called cancellation problem. Classically it is known that the cancellation law holds for complex vector bundles of rank no less than $\frac{d}{2}$ over a d -dimensional CW-complex, which implies that all complex vector bundles over \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} of rank $n + 1$ or above are trivial.

The study of such classification problem for C^* -algebras was popularized by Rieffel [21, 22] who introduced useful versions of stable ranks for C^* -algebras to facilitate the analysis involved. Some successes have been achieved for certain quantum algebras [22, 23, 25, 1, 19]. In particular, Peterka showed that all finitely generated projective modules over the θ -deformed 3-spheres S_θ^3 are free, and constructed all those over S_θ^4 up to isomorphism [19]. With more effort, the result of Bach [1] on the cancellation law for \mathbb{S}_q^{2n+1} and $\mathbb{C}P_q^n$ can be strengthened to a complete classification of finitely generated projective modules over them, which we will address elsewhere.

With the K_0 -group of $C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T}))$ known [12], it is natural to try to classify finitely generated projective modules over $C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T}))$ and identify the line bundles L_k among them. In [29], a complete solution was obtained for the special case of $n = 1$.

In this paper, we use the powerful groupoid approach to C^* -algebras initiated by Renault [20] and popularized by Curto, Muhly, and Renault [6, 15] to study multi-variable Toeplitz C^* -algebras $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$, quantum spheres $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1})$, and quantum complex projective spaces $C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T}))$. Utilizing results on stable ranks of C^* -algebras obtained by Rieffel [21], we analyze finitely generated projective modules over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n+1}$ and $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n+1})$, and get those

of rank higher than $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 3$ and also a large class of “standard” modules classified up to isomorphism. Furthermore, besides identifying a large portion of the positive cone of the K_0 -group $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{T})))$, we explicitly identify the quantum line bundles L_k with concrete representative elementary projections.

On the other hand, there are still a lot of questions to be further investigated, e.g. whether the cancellation law holds for low-ranked finitely generated projective modules, and whether the more general case of θ -twisted multipullback quantum sphere $\mathbb{S}_{H,\theta}^{2n+1}$ brings in new phenomena. Finally it is of interest to note the recent work of Farsi, Hajac, Maszczyk, and Zieliński [7] on $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathcal{T})))$, identifying its free generators arising from Milnor modules as sums of L_k , which can then be expressed in terms of elementary projections by our result.

2 Notations

Taking the groupoid approach to C^* -algebras initiated by Renault [20] and popularized by the work of Curto, Muhly, and Renault [6, 15], we give a description of the C^* -algebras $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ and $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ of [12] as some concrete groupoid C^* -algebras. We refer to [20, 15] for the concepts and theory of groupoid C^* -algebras used freely in the following discussion.

By abuse of notation, for any C^* -algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, we denote the C^* -algebra homomorphism $M_k(\phi) : M_k(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{B})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \equiv \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ also by ϕ . We use \mathcal{A}^\times to denote the set of all invertible elements of an algebra \mathcal{A} , and use \mathcal{A}^+ to denote the minimal unitization of \mathcal{A} . For any topological group G , we use G^0 to denote the identity

component of G , i.e. the connected component that contains the identity element of G .

We denote by $M_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ the direct limit (or the union as sets) of the increasing sequence of matrix algebras $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ over \mathcal{A} with the canonical inclusion $M_n(\mathcal{A}) \subset M_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ identifying $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ with $x \boxplus 0 \in M_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ for any algebra \mathcal{A} , where \boxplus denotes the standard diagonal concatenation (sum) of two matrices. So the size of an element in $M_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ can be taken arbitrarily large. We also use $GL_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ to denote the direct limit of the general linear groups $GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ over a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} with $GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ embedded in $GL_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ by identifying $x \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ with $x \boxplus 1 \in GL_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$.

By an idempotent P over a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} , we mean an element $P \in M_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ with $P^2 = P$, and a self-adjoint idempotent in $M_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ is called a projection over \mathcal{A} . Two idempotents $P, Q \in M_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ are called equivalent, denoted as $P \sim Q$, if there exists $U \in GL_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ such that $UPU^{-1} = Q$. Each idempotent $P \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ over \mathcal{A} defines a finitely generated left projective module $E := \mathcal{A}^n P$ over \mathcal{A} where elements of \mathcal{A}^n are viewed as row vectors. The mapping $P \mapsto \mathcal{A}^n P$ induces a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of idempotents over \mathcal{A} and the isomorphism classes of finitely generated left projective modules over \mathcal{A} [3]. From now on, by a module over \mathcal{A} , we mean a left \mathcal{A} -module, unless otherwise specified.

Two finitely generated projective modules E, F over \mathcal{A} are called stably isomorphic if they become isomorphic after being augmented by the same finitely generated free \mathcal{A} -module, i.e. $E \oplus \mathcal{A}^k \cong F \oplus \mathcal{A}^k$ for some $k \geq 0$. Correspondingly, two idempotents P and Q are called stably equivalent if $P \boxplus I_k$ and $Q \boxplus I_k$ are equivalent for some identity matrix I_k . The

K_0 -group $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ classifies idempotents over \mathcal{A} up to stable equivalence. The classification of idempotents over a C^* -algebra up to equivalence, appearing as the so-called cancellation problem, was popularized by Rieffel's pioneering work [21, 22] and is in general an interesting but difficult question.

The set of all equivalence classes of idempotents over a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is an abelian monoid $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{A})$ with its binary operation provided by the diagonal sum \boxplus . The image of the canonical homomorphism from $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{A})$ into $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is the so-called positive cone of $K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

Furthermore, it is well-known [3] that in the above descriptions of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{A})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{A})$, one can restrict to the self-adjoint idempotents, called projections over \mathcal{A} , and their unitary equivalence classes, which faithfully represent the elements of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{A})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

In this paper, we use freely the basic techniques and manipulations for K -theory found in [3, 31].

For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we denote the C^* -algebra consisting of all compact linear operators on \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, or simply by \mathcal{K} if \mathcal{H} is the essentially unique separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

In the following, we use the notations $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq k} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} | n \geq k\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq} := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In particular, $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We use I to denote the identity operator canonically contained in $\mathcal{K}^+ \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}))$, and

$$P_m := \sum_{i=1}^m e_{ii} \in M_m(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{K}$$

to denote the standard $m \times m$ identity matrix in $M_m(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{K}$ for any integer $m \geq 0$ (with

$M_0(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ and $P_0 = 0$ understood). We also use the notation

$$P_{-m} := I - P_m \in \mathcal{K}^+$$

for integers $m > 0$, and take symbolically $P_{-0} \equiv I - P_0 = I \neq P_0$.

3 Quantum spaces as groupoid C*-algebras

Let $\mathfrak{T}_n := (\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n}$ with $n \geq 1$ be the transformation group groupoid $\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ restricted to the positive ‘‘cone’’ $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n$ where $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ containing $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \equiv \{n \in \mathbb{Z} | n \geq 0\}$ carries the standard topology, and \mathbb{Z}^n acts on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ componentwise in the canonical way. From the groupoid isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n} \cong \times^n \left((\mathbb{Z} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}} \right)$$

and the well-known C*-algebra isomorphism $C^* \left((\mathbb{Z} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}} \right) \cong \mathcal{T}$ for the Toeplitz C*-algebra \mathcal{T} , we get the groupoid C*-algebra

$$C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n) \equiv C^* \left((\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n} \right) \cong \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \equiv \otimes^n \mathcal{T}.$$

We consider two important nontrivial invariant open subsets of the unit space $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n$ of \mathfrak{T}_n , namely, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n the smallest one and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n \setminus \{\infty^n\}$ the largest one, where $\infty^n := (\infty, \dots, \infty) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n$. By the theory of groupoid C*-algebras developed in Renault’s book [20], they give rise to two short exact sequences of C*-algebras

$$0 \rightarrow C^* \left((\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)|_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \right) \cong \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n) \equiv \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n) \rightarrow 0$$

with $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)) \cong \otimes^n \mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}^{\otimes n}$ where

$$\mathfrak{G}_n := (\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}^n})|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}^n} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n}$$

is \mathfrak{T}_n restricted to the “limit boundary” of its unit space, and

$$0 \rightarrow C^* \left((\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}^n})|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}^n} \setminus \{\infty^n\}} \right) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n) \equiv \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} C^* \left((\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}^n})|_{\{\infty^n\}} \right) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow 0$$

where the quotient map σ_n extends the notion of the well-known symbol map σ on \mathcal{T} in the case of $n = 1$.

Note that the open invariant set \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n being dense in the unit space $\overline{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ of \mathfrak{T}_n induces a faithful representation π_n of $C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n)$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)$ that realizes the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n)$ and its closed ideal $C^* \left((\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}^n})|_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \right)$ respectively as a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n))$ and the closed ideal $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n))$ consisting of all compact operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)$.

In this paper, we freely identify elements of $C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n) \equiv \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ with operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)$ via the faithful representation π_n and use these two conceptually different notions interchangeably.

In [12], Hajac, Nest, Pask, Sims, and Zielinski defined the (untwisted) *multipullback* or *Heegaard* quantum odd-dimensional sphere S_H^{2n-1} as the quantum space of the multipullback C^* -algebra [18] determined by homomorphisms of the form $\text{id}^{\otimes j} \otimes \sigma \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes n-j-1}$ from $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes i} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-i-1}$ with $i \neq j$ to some $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes m} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes k} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-m-k-2}$. (Actually more general θ -twisted quantum spheres $S_{H,\theta}^{2n-1}$ are studied there.) They showed that

$$C(S_H^{2n-1}) \cong (\otimes^n \mathcal{T}) / (\otimes^n \mathcal{K})$$

and hence we have

$$C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}) \cong C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)$$

identified as a groupoid C^* -algebra.

With the ideal $C^*\left((\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n \setminus \{\infty^n\}}\right)$ containing the ideal $C^*\left((\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)|_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n}\right)$, the quotient map σ_n induces a well-defined quotient map τ_n in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C^*\left((\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n \cup \{\infty^n\})}\right) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}) \cong (\otimes^n \mathcal{T}) / (\otimes^n \mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\tau_n} C(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

4 Stable ranks of quantum spaces

In his seminal paper [21], Rieffel introduced and popularized the notions of topological stable rank $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{A})$ and connected stable rank $\text{csr}(\mathcal{A})$ of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , which are useful tools in the study of cancellation problems for finitely generated projective modules. Later, Herman and Vaserstein [13] showed that for C^* -algebras \mathcal{A} , Rieffel's topological stable rank coincides with the Bass stable rank used in algebraic K-theory. So we will denote $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{A})$ simply as $\text{sr}(\mathcal{A})$ in our discussion.

In this section, we review an estimate of the stable ranks of the Toeplitz algebras $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ and quantum spheres $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$, which will be used in our study of their finitely generated projective modules. For the case of $n = 1$, it is known [21] that $\text{sr}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{csr}(C(\mathbb{T})) = 2$.

As an illustration of the groupoid approach to C^* -algebras, we first establish some composition sequence structure for $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ and $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$, which leads to an easy estimate of their stable ranks.

Proposition 1. There is a finite composition sequence of closed ideals

$$\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \equiv C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n) \equiv \mathcal{I}_n \triangleright \mathcal{I}_{n-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \mathcal{I}_1 \triangleright \mathcal{I}_0 \triangleright \mathcal{I}_{-1} \equiv \{0\}$$

such that $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}/\mathcal{I}_0 \cong C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$, and for $0 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\mathcal{I}_j/\mathcal{I}_{j-1} \cong \bigoplus_{j!(n-j)!}^{n!} (\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j})) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^j)),$$

where \mathbb{T}^0 and \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^0 denote a singleton.

Proof. For $0 \leq j \leq n$, let X_j be the set consisting of $z \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n}$ with exactly j of the components z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n being equal to ∞ , and hence $X_n = \{\infty^n\}$. Then the sets

$$Y_j := X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X_j$$

are open invariant subsets of the unit space $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n}$ of \mathfrak{T}_n with

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n = Y_0 \subset Y_1 \subset \cdots \subset Y_n = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n}$$

which determines an increasing chain of closed ideals $\mathcal{I}_0 \triangleleft \mathcal{I}_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \mathcal{I}_n$ of $C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_j := C^*\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}^n}\right)|_{Y_j}\right) \equiv C^*\left(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{Y_j}\right).$$

Note that $Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1} = X_j$ with $Y_{-1} := \emptyset$ is a disjoint union of $\frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!}$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j} \times \{\infty^j\}$ each of which is gotten from one of the $\frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!}$ possible selections of exactly j of the n components of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n}$. With each such copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j} \times \{\infty^j\}$ clearly a closed invariant subset of $Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1}$, these $\frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!}$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j} \times \{\infty^j\}$ are open invariant subsets of $Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1}$, and hence

$$C^*\left(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1}}\right) = \bigoplus_{j!(n-j)!}^{n!} C^*\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}^n}\right)|_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j} \times \{\infty^j\}}\right)$$

$$= \oplus_{j!(n-j)!} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} C^* \left(\left((\mathbb{Z}^{n-j} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-j}) \Big|_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}} \right) \times \mathbb{Z}^j \right) = \oplus_{j!(n-j)!} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} \left(\mathcal{K} \left(\ell^2 \left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j} \right) \right) \otimes C \left(\mathbb{T}^j \right) \right).$$

Thus with $\mathcal{I}_j = C^* \left(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{Y_j} \right)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{j-1} = C^* \left(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{Y_{j-1}} \right)$, we get

$$\mathcal{I}_j / \mathcal{I}_{j-1} \cong C^* \left(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1}} \right) \cong \oplus_{j!(n-j)!} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} \left(\mathcal{K} \left(\ell^2 \left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j} \right) \right) \otimes C \left(\mathbb{T}^j \right) \right).$$

□

Corollary 1. There is a finite composition sequence of closed ideals

$$C \left(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1} \right) \equiv C^* \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \right) \equiv \mathcal{J}_n \triangleright \mathcal{J}_{n-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \mathcal{J}_1 \triangleright \mathcal{J}_0 \equiv \{0\}$$

such that for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\mathcal{J}_j / \mathcal{J}_{j-1} \cong \oplus_{j!(n-j)!} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} \left(\mathcal{K} \left(\ell^2 \left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j} \right) \right) \otimes C \left(\mathbb{T}^j \right) \right).$$

Proof. With $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{K} \left(\ell^2 \left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n \right) \right)$ and hence $C^* \left(\mathfrak{T}_n \right) / \mathcal{I}_0 \cong C \left(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1} \right)$, we simply take $\mathcal{J}_j := \mathcal{I}_j / \mathcal{I}_0$. □

The above composition sequences lead to the straightforward estimates

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq \text{sr} \left(C \left(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1} \right) \right) \leq \text{sr} \left(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \right) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$$

and

$$\text{csr} \left(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \right) \leq \text{csr} \left(C \left(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1} \right) \right) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$$

for all $n \geq 1$, based on the general rules established in [21] that (i) $\text{sr} \left(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K} \right) = \min \{2, \text{sr} \left(\mathcal{A} \right)\}$,

(ii) for any closed ideal \mathcal{I} of a C*-algebra \mathcal{A} ,

$$\max \{ \text{sr} \left(\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I} \right), \text{sr} \left(\mathcal{I} \right) \} \leq \text{sr} \left(\mathcal{A} \right) \leq \max \{ \text{sr} \left(\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I} \right), \text{sr} \left(\mathcal{I} \right), \text{csr} \left(\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I} \right) \},$$

and (iii) $\text{sr}(C(X)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ for any n -dimensional CW-complex X , and the rule [25, 16, 17] that for any closed ideal \mathcal{I} of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , (iv) $\text{csr}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \leq 2$ (with $\text{csr}(\mathcal{K}) = 1$) and (v)

$$\text{csr}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max \{ \text{csr}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}), \text{csr}(\mathcal{I}) \}.$$

Indeed, for $n > 1$, applying (i)-(ii) and (iv)-(v) to the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{j-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_j/\mathcal{I}_{j-1} \cong \bigoplus_{j!(n-j)!} (\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j})) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^j)) \rightarrow 0$$

inductively for j increasing from 1 to $n-1$, starting with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)) \cong \mathcal{I}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1/\mathcal{I}_0 \cong \bigoplus^n (\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1})) \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) \rightarrow 0$$

for $j = 1$, we get $\text{csr}(\mathcal{I}_j), \text{sr}(\mathcal{I}_j) \leq 2$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. In particular, $\text{csr}(\mathcal{I}_{n-1}), \text{sr}(\mathcal{I}_{n-1}) \leq 2$, which is also valid for $n = 1$ since $\mathcal{I}_0 \cong \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n))$. Then with $\text{csr}(C(\mathbb{T}^n)) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$ by homotopy theory [33], we get $\text{csr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and

$$\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq \text{sr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$$

by further applying (ii)-(iii) and (v) to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n \equiv \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_{n-1} \cong C(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Similar argument yields $\text{csr}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq \text{sr}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$, with the inequality $\text{sr}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \leq \text{sr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ obviously valid by (ii). Also $\text{csr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \leq \text{csr}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$ by (iv)-(v).

Such an estimate determining $\text{sr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ sharply for even n and up to an error of 1 for odd $n > 1$ as stated above was first obtained by G. Nagy in [16] and then sharpened to the exact

value

$$\text{sr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \quad (\text{and hence } \text{sr}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1)$$

for general $n > 1$ by Nistor in [17] which also gives $\text{csr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$. We summarize these results as follows.

Proposition 2. For all $n > 1$,

$$\text{sr}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \text{sr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$$

and

$$\text{csr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \leq \text{csr}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Corollary 2. For any $n > 1$ and any $k \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 3$, the topological group $GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ is connected.

Proof. By the Künneth formula [3] for K -groups, we get $K_1(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = 0$ since $K_1(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ is well known. So by the theorem [21] that $K_1(\mathcal{A}) \cong GL_k(\mathcal{A})/GL_k^0(\mathcal{A})$ for any unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} with $k \geq \text{sr}(\mathcal{A}) + 2$, we get $GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = GL_k^0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ for any $k \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 3 \geq \text{sr}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) + 2$.

□

Note that the above statement holds for the case of $n = 1$, since $GL_k(\mathcal{T})$ is connected for all $k \geq 1$ in the case of $n = 1$ by the index theory of Toeplitz operators for the unit disk \mathbb{D} .

5 Projective modules over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$

Before proceeding to study finitely generated projective modules over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$, we now point out a structure of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ which facilitates some inductive procedures for the study of such modules.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the topological groupoid $\mathfrak{T}_n|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{\infty\}}$ is isomorphic to the product topological groupoid $\mathfrak{T}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}$, while the topological groupoid $\mathfrak{T}_n|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}}$ is isomorphic to the product topological groupoid $\mathfrak{T}_{n-1} \times (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})|_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}}$, where the closed subset $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{\infty\}$ and its open complement $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}$ in the unit space $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n$ of \mathfrak{T}_n are invariant. (Here it is understood that when $n - 1 = 0$, the first factor $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1}$ is dropped.) Hence we get the short exact sequence of C*-algebras

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow C^* \left(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}} \right) &\cong \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq})) \rightarrow \\ C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n) \equiv \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} &\xrightarrow{\kappa_n} C^* \left(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{\infty\}} \right) \cong \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore the quotient maps κ_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ resulting from a groupoid restriction satisfy the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_n} & M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) & \equiv & M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \\ \downarrow \sigma_n & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \text{id} \\ M_k(C(\mathbb{T}^n)) & \xrightarrow{\equiv} & M_k(C(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) & \equiv & M_k(C(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \end{array}$$

where \equiv stands for a canonical isomorphism and $\sigma_0 := \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

To classify the isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ -modules E or equivalently the equivalence classes of idempotents $P \in M_{\infty}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$, we first define

the rank of (the class of) E or P as the classical rank of (the isomorphism class of) the vector bundle corresponding to (the class of) the $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -module $C(\mathbb{T}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}} E$ or the projection $\sigma_n(P)$ over $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

The set of equivalence classes of idempotents $P \in M_\infty(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ equipped with the binary operation \boxplus becomes an abelian graded monoid

$$\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = \sqcup_{m=0}^\infty \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$$

where $\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ is the set of all (equivalence classes of) idempotents over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ of rank m , and

$$\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \boxplus \mathfrak{P}_l(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \subset \mathfrak{P}_{m+l}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$$

for $m, l \geq 0$. Clearly $\mathfrak{P}_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ is a submonoid of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$.

Next we define a submonoid of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ generated by “standard” type of idempotents, which turns out to contain (equivalence classes of) all idempotents of sufficiently high ranks, and then classify its elements.

Note that each permutation Θ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ induces canonically a C^* -algebra automorphism, still denoted as Θ by abuse of notation, on $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ by permuting the indices of the factors in $a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \in \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ for $a_i \in \mathcal{T}$. A permutation Θ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is called a $(j, n-j)$ -shuffle on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ if $\Theta(1) < \Theta(2) < \dots < \Theta(j)$ and $\Theta(j+1) < \Theta(j+2) < \dots < \Theta(n)$.

Some basic projections over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ are given by $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ where

$$P_{j,l} := \boxplus^l \left((\otimes^j I) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1) \right) \in M_l(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$$

for $l \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$ (in particular, $P_{n,m} \equiv \boxplus^m (\otimes^n I) \equiv \boxplus^m \tilde{I}$ for the unit \tilde{I} of

$\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$), and Θ is (the automorphism defined by) a $(j, n - j)$ -shuffle on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Note that $\Theta(P_{j,l}) = \Theta(\boxplus^l P_{j,1}) = \boxplus^l \Theta(P_{j,1})$,

$$\Theta(P_{j,l}) \boxplus \Theta(P_{j,l'}) \sim \Theta(P_{j,l+l'}),$$

and $(\otimes^j I) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j-1} P_1) \otimes P_l \sim P_{j,l}$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ since $P_l \sim \boxplus^l P_1$ over $\mathcal{K}^+ \subset \mathcal{T}$. Furthermore

$$\sigma_n(\Theta(P_{j,l})) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ \boxplus^l 1, & \text{if } j = n \end{cases},$$

and hence $\Theta(P_{j,l}) \in \mathfrak{P}_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ if $j < n$ and $\Theta(P_{n,l}) = P_{n,l} \in \mathfrak{P}_l(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$, where $1 \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the constant function 1 on \mathbb{T}^n . So the set $\mathfrak{P}'_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \subset \mathfrak{P}_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ consisting of (the equivalence classes of) all possible \boxplus -sums of $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ with $l \geq 0$ and Θ a $(j, n - j)$ -shuffle on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ is a submonoid of $\mathfrak{P}_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$. For $m \geq 1$, we define a singleton

$$\mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) := \left\{ P_{n,m} \equiv \boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$$

where \tilde{I} denotes the identity element of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$. Clearly $\sqcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ is also a submonoid of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$.

We define a partial ordering \prec on the collection

$$\Omega := \{(j, \Theta) : 0 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } \Theta \text{ is a } (j, n - j)\text{-shuffle}\}$$

by the condition that $(j', \Theta') \prec (j, \Theta)$ if and only if $\Theta(\{1, 2, \dots, j\}) \supseteq \Theta'(\{1, 2, \dots, j'\})$ (and hence $j > j'$). Here $\{1, 2, \dots, 0\} \equiv \emptyset$ is understood. Note that $\text{id}_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}}$ is a $(j, n - j)$ -shuffle for every j , and $(n, \text{id}_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}})$ is the greatest element while $(0, \text{id}_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}})$ is the smallest element in Ω with respect to \prec .

Proposition 3. $\mathfrak{P}'(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = \sqcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ is a graded submonoid of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ and its monoid structure is explicitly determined by that for any $l, l' > 0$ and any $(j', \Theta') \prec (j, \Theta)$ in Ω ,

$$\Theta(P_{j,l}) \boxplus \Theta'(P_{j',l'}) \sim \Theta(P_{j,l}).$$

Proof. Note that since $\mathfrak{P}'_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ and $\boxplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ are submonoids of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$, the set $\mathfrak{P}'(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ is a submonoid if $\Theta(P_{n,m}) \boxplus \Theta'(P_{j',l'}) \sim \Theta(P_{n,m})$ holds for all $m > 0$ and all $\Theta'(P_{j',l'})$ with $j' \leq n-1$. Since $(n, \text{id}_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}})$ is the greatest element in Ω , it remains to show that $\Theta(P_{j,l}) \boxplus \Theta'(P_{j',l'}) \sim \Theta(P_{j,l})$ for $n \geq j > j' \geq 0$ with $\Theta(\{1, 2, \dots, j\}) \supset \Theta'(\{1, 2, \dots, j'\})$ and $l, l' > 0$.

Note that for $\Theta(\{1, 2, \dots, j\}) \supset \Theta'(\{1, 2, \dots, j'\})$, there exists a permutation Θ'' (not necessarily a shuffle) on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\Theta''(\Theta(P_{j,l})) = P_{j,l}$ and $\Theta''(\Theta'(P_{j',l'})) = P_{j',l'}$. (In fact, one can find a permutation Θ'' such that $\Theta''\Theta$ fixes each of $j+1, \dots, n$, and $\Theta''\Theta'$ is each of $1, 2, \dots, j'$.) So it suffices to prove that

$$P_{j,l} \boxplus P_{j',l'} \sim P_{j,l}$$

whenever $j > j'$ and $l, l' > 0$. Furthermore since $P_{j,l} = \boxplus^l P_{j,1}$, we only need to show that $P_{j,1} \boxplus P_{j',1} \sim P_{j,1}$ for $j > j'$.

Note that $U(P_1 \boxplus I)U^* = 0 \boxplus I$ in $M_2(\mathcal{T})$ for the unitary

$$U := e_{11} \otimes \mathcal{S}^* + e_{22} \otimes \mathcal{S} + e_{21} \otimes e_{11} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{T} \equiv M_2(\mathcal{T})$$

where $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{T}$ is the (forward) unilateral shift on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq})$. So

$$P_{j,1} \boxplus P_{j-1,1} = ((\otimes^j I) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1)) \boxplus ((\otimes^{j-1} I) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j+1} P_1))$$

$$= (\otimes^{j-1} I) \otimes (I \boxplus P_1) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1) \sim (\otimes^{j-1} I) \otimes I \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1) = P_{j,1}.$$

Thus by iteration of this result, we can “expand” $P_{j,1}$ to get for any $0 \leq k < j$,

$$P_{j,1} \sim P_{j,1} \boxplus P_{j-1,1} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus P_{k,1},$$

and hence

$$P_{j,1} \boxplus P_{j',1} \sim P_{j,1} \boxplus P_{j-1,1} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus P_{j'+1,1} \boxplus P_{j',1} \sim P_{j,1}.$$

□

For each $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$, let $X_\Theta \subset \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq}^n$ be the invariant closed subset of the unit space of \mathfrak{T}_n consisting of $z \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq}^n$ with $z_k = \infty$ for all $k \in \Theta(\{1, 2, \dots, j\})$, and let

$$\sigma_{(j,\Theta)} : C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{X_\Theta}) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^j) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-j} \subset C(\mathbb{T}^j) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-j}))$$

be the canonical quotient map, where the isomorphism implicitly involves a rearrangement of factors by the inverse permutation Θ^{-1} . Here as before, \mathbb{T}^0 is a singleton. Defining $\rho_{(j,\Theta)}(P)$ for an idempotent P over $C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n)$ as the rank of the projection operator $\sigma_{(j,\Theta)}(P)(t) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-j}))$ for any $t \in \mathbb{T}^j$, which depends only on the equivalence class of P , we get a well-defined monoid homomorphism

$$\rho_{(j,\Theta)} : (\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}), \boxplus) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_\geq \cup \{\infty\}, +).$$

A (finite) \boxplus -sum of (the equivalence classes of) projections $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ indexed by some $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$ that are mutually unrelated by \prec with $l \equiv l_{(j,\Theta)} > 0$ depending on (j, Θ) is called a reduced \boxplus -sum of standard projections over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$. It is understood that an “empty” \boxplus -sum represents the zero projection and is a reduced \boxplus -sum. Two reduced \boxplus -sums are

called different when they have different sets of (mutually \prec -unrelated) indices $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$ or have different weight functions l of (j, Θ) . We are going to show that different reduced \boxplus -sums are inequivalent projections. Clearly each projection $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ with $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$ and $l > 0$ is a reduced \boxplus -sum.

Theorem 1. The submonoid $\mathfrak{P}'(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = \sqcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ consists exactly of reduced \boxplus -sums of standard projections over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$, and different reduced \boxplus -sums are mutually inequivalent projections. Furthermore the monoid homomorphism

$$\rho : P \in \mathfrak{P}'(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \mapsto \prod_{(j,\Theta) \in \Omega} \rho_{(j,\Theta)}(P) \in \prod_{(j,\Theta) \in \Omega} \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}$$

is injective, with $\rho_{(j,\Theta)}(\Theta(P_{j,l})) = l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By definition, $\mathfrak{P}'(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ consists of \boxplus -sums of (the equivalence classes of) projections $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ with $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$ and $l > 0$. Since $\Theta(P_{j,l}) + \Theta(P_{j,l'}) \sim \Theta(P_{j,l+l'})$, we only need to consider in the following those \boxplus -sums, in which all summands $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ are indexed by distinct $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$ with l depending on (j, Θ) . For any such a \boxplus -sum, using the property that $\Theta(P_{j,l}) \boxplus \Theta'(P_{j',l'}) \sim \Theta(P_{j,l})$ for any $(j', \Theta') \prec (j, \Theta)$, we can remove one by one those \boxplus -summands $\Theta'(P_{j',l'})$ with (j', Θ') dominated by the index of another summand, without changing the equivalence class, until we reach a \boxplus -sum of $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ with $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$ mutually unrelated by \prec , i.e. a reduced \boxplus -sum. So $\mathfrak{P}'(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ consists of the reduced \boxplus -sums.

Note that for $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$ and $l > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{(j,\Theta)}(\Theta(P_{j,l})) &= \sigma_{(j,\Theta)}(\boxplus^l \Theta((\otimes^j I) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1))) \\ &= 1 \otimes (\boxplus^l (\otimes^{n-j} P_1)) \in C(\mathbb{T}^j) \otimes (\boxplus^l \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}))) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\rho_{(j,\Theta)}(\Theta(P_{j,l})) = l \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator rank of $\boxplus^l(\otimes^{n-j}P_1) \in \mathcal{B}(\oplus^l \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}))$. But for $(j', \Theta') \neq (j, \Theta)$,

$$\rho_{(j,\Theta)}(\Theta'(P_{j',\nu})) := \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } (j, \Theta) \prec (j', \Theta') \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

because either $\sigma_{(j,\Theta)}(\Theta'(P_{j',\nu})) = 0$ when $\Theta(\{1, 2, \dots, j\}) \setminus \Theta'(\{1, 2, \dots, j'\}) \neq \emptyset$, or $\sigma_{(j,\Theta)}(\Theta'(P_{j',\nu}))$ is an infinite-dimensional projection when $\Theta'(\{1, 2, \dots, j'\}) \supset \Theta(\{1, 2, \dots, j\})$ (but $\Theta(\{1, 2, \dots, j\}) \neq \Theta'(\{1, 2, \dots, j'\})$ since $(j', \Theta') \neq (j, \Theta)$), i.e. when $(j, \Theta) \prec (j', \Theta')$.

For a reduced \boxplus -sum P of $\Theta'(P_{j',\nu})$ indexed by (j', Θ') in some subset $A \subset \Omega$, the (j, Θ) -component of $\rho(P)$ is

$$\sum_{(j', \Theta') \in A} \rho_{(j,\Theta)}(\Theta'(P_{j',\nu})) \begin{cases} = l \in \mathbb{N} & \text{if } (j, \Theta) \in A \text{ with } \Theta(P_{j,l}) \text{ a summand of } P \\ \in \{0, \infty\} & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega$, since if $(j, \Theta) \in A$ then (j, Θ) is \prec -unrelated to any other $(j', \Theta') \in A$. So $\rho(P)$ completely determines the summands of a reduced \boxplus -sum P , namely, P is the \boxplus -sum of exactly those $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ with l equal to the (j, Θ) -component of $\rho(P)$ that is a strictly positive integer. Since $\mathfrak{P}'(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ consists of reduced \boxplus -sums, this also shows that the clearly well-defined monoid homomorphism ρ is injective.

Thus if $P \sim P'$ for two reduced \boxplus -sums P and P' and hence $\rho(P) = \rho(P')$, then the summands of P and P' are exactly the same, i.e. P and P' are the same reduced \boxplus -sum. So different reduced \boxplus -sums are mutually inequivalent projections.

□

Proposition 4. $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathfrak{P}'(\mathcal{T})$. More concretely,

$$\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{T}) \cong \{(0, l) : l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\} \cup \{(m, \infty) : m > 0\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2}$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2}$ is equipped with the canonical monoid structure.

Proof. It suffices to show that any element of $\mathfrak{P}_0(\mathcal{T}) \equiv \mathfrak{P}_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 1})$ is of the form $P_{0,l}$ (realized as $(0, l) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2}$) and any element of $\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}) \equiv \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 1})$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is of the form $P_{1,m}$ (realized as $(m, \infty) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2}$).

The argument sketched below is similar to one used in [29].

Since any complex vector bundle over \mathbb{T} is trivial, any idempotent over $C(\mathbb{T})$ is equivalent to the standard projection $1 \otimes P_m \in C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes M_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}$. So for any idempotent $P \in M_{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ over \mathcal{T} , there is some $U \in GL_{\infty}(C(\mathbb{T}))$ such that

$$U\sigma(P)U^{-1} = 1 \otimes P_m = \sigma(\boxplus^m I)$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}$ where I is the identity of $\mathcal{K}^+ \subset \mathcal{T}$, and hence $VPV^{-1} - \boxplus^m I \in M_{\infty}(\mathcal{K})$ for any lift $V \in GL_{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ (which exists) of $U \boxplus U^{-1} \in GL_{\infty}^0(C(\mathbb{T}))$ along σ . Replacing P by the equivalent VPV^{-1} , we may assume that $P \in (\boxplus^m I) + M_{k-1}(\mathcal{K}) \subset M_{k-1}(\mathcal{K}^+)$ for some large $k \geq m + 1$. Now since $M_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ is dense in \mathcal{K} , there is an idempotent $Q \in (\boxplus^m I) + M_{k-1}(M_N(\mathbb{C}))$ sufficiently close to and hence equivalent to P for some large N . So replacing P by Q , we may assume that $K := P - \boxplus^m I \in M_{k-1}(M_N(\mathbb{C}))$.

Rearranging the entries of $P \equiv K + \boxplus^m I \in M_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}) \subset M_k(\mathcal{T})$ via conjugation by the unitary

$$U_{k,N} := \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(e_{jj} \otimes (\mathcal{S}^*)^N + e_{kj} \otimes (\mathcal{S}^{(j-1)N} P_N) \right) + e_{kk} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{(k-1)N} \in M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{T} \equiv M_k(\mathcal{T})$$

we get

$$U_{k,N} P U_{k,N}^{-1} \equiv U_{k,N} (P \boxplus 0) U_{k,N}^{-1} = ((\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-1-m} 0)) \boxplus R$$

for some $R \in M_{(k-1)N}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{T}$ which must be an idempotent. Since any idempotent in \mathcal{K} is equivalent over \mathcal{K}^+ to a standard projection P_l , we get

$$P \sim ((\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-1-m} 0)) \boxplus P_l$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}$.

If $m = 0$, then clearly $P \sim P_l$. Since it is well known that P_l and $\boxplus^l P_1 \equiv P_{0,l}$ are equivalent over \mathcal{K}^+ and hence over $\mathcal{T} \supset \mathcal{K}^+$, we get $P \sim P_{0,l}$.

If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we can rearrange entries via conjugation by the unitary

$$U_l := e_{11} \otimes \mathcal{S}^l + e_{1k} \otimes P_l + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} e_{jj} \otimes I + e_{kk} \otimes (\mathcal{S}^*)^l \in M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{T} \equiv M_k(\mathcal{T})$$

to get

$$U_l (((\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-1-m} 0)) \boxplus P_l) U_l^{-1} = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0) \equiv \boxplus^m I \equiv P_{1,m}.$$

□

Theorem 2. For $n > 1$ and $m > 0$, if $\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) = \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) \equiv \{\boxplus^m (\otimes^{n-1} I)\}$ and $GL_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ is connected, then $\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$.

Proof. In this proof, we use I and \tilde{I} to denote respectively the identity elements of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$.

Let $P \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$. The idempotent $\kappa_n(P)$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies that for any $z \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\sigma_{n-1}(\kappa_n(P)(z)) = \sigma_n(P)(\cdot, z) \in M_\infty(C(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}))$$

which is of rank m pointwise, and hence

$$\kappa_n(P)(z) \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) = \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}),$$

i.e. $\kappa_n(P)(z) \sim \boxplus^m I$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$. In particular, there is a continuous idempotent-valued path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ for k sufficiently large going from the idempotent $\kappa_n(P)(1)$ to $(\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0)$. Clearly we may assume that γ is locally constant at 1, say, $\gamma(t) = \boxplus^m I$ for $t \geq 1/2$. The concatenation of the path γ^{-1} , the loop $\kappa_n(P)$, and the path γ defines an idempotent-valued continuous loop $\Gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ starting and ending at $\boxplus^m I$ with $\Gamma(e^{i\theta}) = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0)$, say, for all $\theta \in [3\pi/2, 2\pi]$ (and $[0, \pi/2]$), and is homotopic to the loop $\kappa_n(P)$ via idempotents, i.e. there is a path of idempotents in $M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$ from $\kappa_n(P)$ to Γ . Consequently, there is a continuous path of invertibles $U_t \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$ with $U_0 = I_k$ such that $U_1 \kappa_n(P) U_1^{-1} = \Gamma$, which can be lifted along κ_n to a continuous path of invertible $V_t \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ with $V_0 = I_k$ such that $\kappa_n(V_1 P V_1^{-1}) = \Gamma$.

Replacing P by the equivalent idempotent $V_1 P V_1^{-1}$, we may now assume directly that the idempotent $\kappa_n(P)$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ is a continuous loop of idempotents in $M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ such that $\kappa_n(P)(e^{i\theta}) = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0)$ for all $\theta \in [3\pi/2, 2\pi]$. So there is a continuous path

$$\theta \in [0, 3\pi/2] \mapsto W_\theta \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$$

with $W_0 = I_k$ such that

$$W_\theta(\kappa_n(P)(e^{i\theta}))W_\theta^{-1} = \kappa_n(P)(1) = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0)$$

for all $\theta \in [0, 3\pi/2]$. In particular,

$$W_{3\pi/2} \left((\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0) \right) = \left((\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0) \right) W_{3\pi/2}$$

and hence $W_{3\pi/2} = W' \boxplus W''$ for some invertibles $W' \in GL_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ and $W'' \in GL_{k-m}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$.

By the connectedness assumption on $GL_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$, there is a continuous path $\alpha : [3\pi/2, 2\pi] \rightarrow GL_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ with $\alpha(3\pi/2) = W'$ and $\alpha(2\pi) = I_m$. Since by Künneth formula, $K_1(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) = 0$ and hence $GL_N(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ is connected for N sufficiently large, we may suitably increase the value of k by adding diagonal \boxplus -summands 0 to idempotents and diagonal \boxplus -summands I to invertibles, so that $GL_{k-m}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ is also connected and hence there is a continuous path $\beta : [3\pi/2, 2\pi] \rightarrow GL_{k-m}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ with $\beta(3\pi/2) = W''$ and $\beta(2\pi) = I_{k-m}$. Now the function $\theta \mapsto W_\theta$ can be continuously extended to the whole interval $[0, 2\pi]$ by setting

$$W_\theta := \alpha(\theta) \boxplus \beta(\theta) \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$$

for $\theta \in [3\pi/2, 2\pi]$, giving rise to a well-defined continuous loop

$$W : e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto W_\theta \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}),$$

i.e. $W \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$, satisfying

$$W(\kappa_n(P))W^{-1} = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0).$$

So the idempotent $\kappa_n(P)$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ is equivalent to the idempotent $\boxplus^m I$.

Replacing P by the equivalent idempotent $\tilde{W}(P \boxplus (\boxplus^k 0))\tilde{W}^{-1}$ for any fixed lifting $\tilde{W} \in GL_{2k}^0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ of $W \boxplus W^{-1} \in GL_{2k}^0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$ along κ_n , we may now assume that

$$\kappa_n(P) = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0) = \kappa_n \left(\left(\boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0) \right)$$

and proceed to show that $P \sim \boxplus^m \tilde{I}$.

Note that $P - \left(\left(\boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{2k-m} 0 \right) \right) \in M_{2k}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Since $M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is dense in \mathcal{K} , we may replace P by a suitable equivalent idempotent and assume that

$$K := P - \left(\left(\boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{2k-m} 0 \right) \right) \in M_{2k}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})) \subset M_{2k}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Rearranging the entries of $P \equiv P \boxplus 0 \in M_{2k+1}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C}))$ via conjugation by the unitary

$$\begin{aligned} U_{k,N} &:= \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \left(e_{jj} \otimes (I \otimes \mathcal{S}^*)^N + e_{2k+1,j} \otimes (I \otimes \mathcal{S}^{(j-1)N} P_N) \right) + e_{2k+1,2k+1} \otimes (I \otimes \mathcal{S}^{2kN}) \\ &\in M_{2k+1}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{T} \equiv M_{2k+1}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$P \sim U_{k,N} P U_{k,N}^{-1} \equiv U_{k,N} (P \boxplus 0) U_{k,N}^{-1} = \left(\left(\boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{2k-m} 0 \right) \right) \boxplus R$$

for some

$$R \in M_{2kN}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) \equiv \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes M_{2kN}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{T} \equiv \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$$

which must be an idempotent over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$.

Since $K_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) = \mathbb{Z}$ by Künneth formula, $R \boxplus (\boxplus^r I) \sim (\boxplus^{r+[R]} I)$ for a sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$ where $[R] \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the class of R in $K_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$. So there is an invertible $U \in GL_d(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ for some large $d \geq \max\{2kN + r, r + [R]\}$ such that

$$U (R \boxplus (\boxplus^{d-2kN-r} 0) \boxplus (\boxplus^r I)) U^{-1} = (\boxplus^{d-r-[R]} 0) \boxplus (\boxplus^{r+[R]} I).$$

With $m > 0$, we can rearrange entries via conjugation by the unitary

$$\begin{aligned} U_{d-r} &:= e_{11} \otimes (I \otimes \mathcal{S}^{d-r}) + e_{1,2k+1} \otimes I \otimes P_{d-r} + \sum_{j=2}^{2k} e_{jj} \otimes \tilde{I} + e_{2k+1,2k+1} \otimes (I \otimes \mathcal{S}^*)^{d-r} \\ &\in M_{2k+1}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{T} \equiv M_{2k+1}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \end{aligned}$$

to get

$$P \sim U_{d-r} \left(\left(\boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{2k-m} 0 \right) \boxplus R \right) U_{d-r}^{-1} = R' \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{m-1} \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{2k+1-m} 0 \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R' &= (R \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{d-2kN-r} 0 \right)) + \left(\tilde{I} - I \otimes P_{d-r} \right) \in \tilde{I} + (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes M_{d-r}(\mathbb{C})) \\ &\subset \tilde{I} + (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that R' can be interpreted as $R \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{d-2kN-r} 0 \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{\infty} I \right) \in \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \subset \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$, which

when conjugated by the invertible $U \equiv U \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{\infty} I \right) \in \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \subset \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ becomes

$$\left(\boxplus^{d-r-[R]} 0 \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{r+[R]} I \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{\infty} I \right) = \tilde{I} - I \otimes P_{d-r-[R]} \in \tilde{I} + (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}.$$

So we get

$$P \sim \left(\tilde{I} - I \otimes P_{d-r-[R]} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{m-1} \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{2k+1-m} 0 \right),$$

the latter of which when conjugated by $U_{d-r-[R]}^{-1}$ yields $\tilde{I} \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{m-1} \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{2k+1-m} 0 \right)$, where

$U_{d-r-[R]}$ is defined as U_{d-r} by replacing $d-r$ by $d-r-[R]$. Thus we get $P \sim \left(\boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus^{2k+1-m} 0 \right) \equiv \boxplus^m \tilde{I}$.

□

Corollary 3. $\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \equiv \left\{ \boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right\}$ for all $m \geq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 3$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

where \tilde{I} is the identity element of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$.

Proof. We prove by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n = 1$, we already know that $\mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \equiv \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ for all $m > 0$.

Now assume as the induction hypothesis that $\mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) = \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ for all $m \geq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 3$ for an $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since we know that $GL_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ is connected for all $m \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 3$, the above theorem implies that $\mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n+1}) = \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n+1})$ for all $m \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 3$.

□

It remains open the problem of classification of low-rank idempotents over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$. In particular, it is not clear whether there are idempotents of non-standard (equivalence) type.

6 Projective modules over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$

Most of the arguments and results in the above study of projective modules over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ can be adapted to the case of the quantum spheres $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$.

Let $\partial_n : \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ be the canonical quotient map by restricting the groupoid \mathfrak{T}_n to the closed invariant set $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n$ in its unit space.

First we note that there is a short exact sequence of C^* -algebras

$$0 \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq})) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} C^*\left(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \{\infty\}}\right) \cong \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $n > 1$. Indeed, since $(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}$ is an open invariant subset of the unit space $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n$ of the groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_n \equiv (\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}^n})|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n}$ with the invariant complement

$$(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n) \setminus \left((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \right) = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \{\infty\},$$

the groupoid C^* -algebra

$$\begin{aligned} C^* \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \Big|_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}} \right) &= C^* \left(\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \ltimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-1} \right) \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times (\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}) \Big|_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}} \right) \\ &\cong C^* \left(\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \ltimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-1} \right) \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \right) \otimes C^* \left((\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}) \Big|_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}} \right) = C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq})) \end{aligned}$$

is a closed ideal of $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n) = C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ with quotient

$$\begin{aligned} &C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n) / C^* \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \Big|_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}} \right) \cong C^* \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{\infty\}} \right) \\ &= C^* \left(\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \ltimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-1} \right) \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \mathbb{Z} \right) \cong C^* \left(\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \ltimes \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-1} \right) \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \right) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}). \end{aligned}$$

So we get the above short exact sequence with λ_n being the canonical map from $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ to its quotient $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ resulting from restricting the groupoid \mathfrak{G}_n to the closed invariant set $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{\infty\}$.

Clearly $\kappa_n = \lambda_n \circ \partial_n$. Furthermore all the quotient maps $\sigma_{(j,\Theta)}$ on $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ with $j > 0$ factors through ∂_n and induces a quotient map

$$\tau_{(j,\Theta)} : C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^j) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}))$$

such that $\sigma_{(j,\Theta)} = \tau_{(j,\Theta)} \circ \partial_n$.

Note that the quotient maps λ_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_k(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} & M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) & \equiv & M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \\ \downarrow \tau_n & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \text{id} \\ M_k(C(\mathbb{T}^n)) & \xrightarrow{\equiv} & M_k(C(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) & \equiv & M_k(C(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}). \end{array}$$

We define the rank of (the equivalence class of) an idempotent $Q \in M_\infty(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ as the rank of the matrix value $\tau_n(Q)(z) \in M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ at any $z \in \mathbb{T}^n$ (independent of z since \mathbb{T}^n is connected). Then the set of equivalence classes of idempotents

$Q \in M_\infty (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$ equipped with the binary operation \boxplus becomes an abelian graded monoid

$$\mathfrak{P} (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \sqcup_{m=0}^\infty \mathfrak{P}_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$$

where $\mathfrak{P}_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$ is the set of all (equivalence classes of) idempotents over $C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ of rank m , with clearly

$$\mathfrak{P}_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \boxplus \mathfrak{P}_l (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \subset \mathfrak{P}_{m+l} (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$$

for $m, l \geq 0$.

Since $\sigma_n = \tau_n \circ \partial_n$, the rank of an idempotent P over $C (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ equals the rank of the idempotent $\partial_n P$ over $C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$. We now define

$$\mathfrak{P}'_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) := \partial_n (\mathfrak{P}'_m (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})) \subset \mathfrak{P}_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})),$$

and the projections

$$Q_{j,\Theta,l} := \partial_n (\Theta (P_{j,l}))$$

over $C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$. Note that $\mathfrak{P}'_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \left\{ \boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right\}$ for $m > 0$, where \tilde{I} denotes the identity element of $C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$.

Also note that $Q_{0,\text{id},l} = 0$ for all l , where $\text{id} \equiv \text{id}_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}}$ is the only $(0, n)$ -shuffle. The monoid homomorphism

$$\rho_0 : P \in \mathfrak{P}' (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}) \mapsto \prod_{(j,\Theta) \in \Omega_0} \rho_{(j,\Theta)} (P) \in \prod_{(j,\Theta) \in \Omega_0} \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq},$$

with

$$\Omega_0 := \Omega \setminus \{(0, \text{id})\} \equiv \{(j, \Theta) : 0 < j \leq n \text{ and } \Theta \text{ is a } (j, n-j)\text{-shuffle}\},$$

“truncated” from ρ induces a well-defined monoid homomorphism

$$\rho_{\partial} : \mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \rightarrow \prod_{(j,\Theta) \in \Omega_0} \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}},$$

in the sense that $\rho = \rho_{\partial} \circ \partial_n$. Indeed for $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega_0$, i.e. with $j > 0$, the quotient map

$$\sigma_{(j,\Theta)} : \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \equiv C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{T}_n|_{X_{\Theta}})$$

factors through ∂_n since the unit space $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n$ of \mathfrak{G}_n contains X_{Θ} , and hence the map $\rho_{(j,\Theta)}$ factors through ∂_n .

We call a \boxplus -sum of $Q_{j,\Theta,l}$ indexed by \prec -unrelated $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega_0$ (i.e. $1 \leq j \leq n$) and $l \equiv l_{(j,\Theta)} > 0$ depending on (j, Θ) to be a reduced \boxplus -sum of standard projections over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$. (The degenerate empty \boxplus -sum 0 is taken as a reduced \boxplus -sum.) Two such reduced \boxplus -sums are called different when they have different sets of (mutually \prec -unrelated) indices $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega_0$ or have different weight functions l of (j, Θ) . Each $Q_{j,\Theta,l}$ with $j, l > 0$ is a reduced \boxplus -sum of standard projections over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$.

Proposition 5. Different reduced \boxplus -sums of standard projections over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ are mutually inequivalent projections over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$, and they form a graded submonoid

$$\mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \sqcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{P}'_m(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$$

of the monoid $\mathfrak{P}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$, with its monoid structure explicitly determined by $Q_{j,\Theta,l} \boxplus Q_{j',\Theta',l'} \sim Q_{j,\Theta,l}$ for $(j', \Theta') \prec (j, \Theta)$ with $j, j', l, l' > 0$. Furthermore the monoid homomorphism

$$\rho_{\partial} : \mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \rightarrow \prod_{(j,\Theta) \in \Omega_0} \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}}$$

is injective.

Proof. The submonoid $\mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \partial_n(\mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})))$ consists of reduced \boxplus -sums of $Q_{j,\Theta,l} = \partial_n(\Theta(P_{j,l}))$ with $j > 0$, since $Q_{0,\text{id},l} = 0$.

Let \mathfrak{M} be the subset of $\mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}))$ consisting of all reduced \boxplus -sums P of $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ with $j > 0$. Then $\partial_n|_{\mathfrak{M}} : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$ is still surjective, and $\rho_0|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ still factors through ρ_∂ , i.e. $\rho_0|_{\mathfrak{M}} = \rho_\partial \circ \partial_n|_{\mathfrak{M}}$. These imply that ρ_∂ is injective if $\rho_0|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is injective.

For any reduced \boxplus -sum $P \in \mathfrak{M}$ of $\Theta(P_{j,l})$ with $j > 0$, the (j, Θ) -component of $\rho(P)$ is the same as that of $\rho_0(P)$ for all $(j, \Theta) \in \Omega_0$, while the only other component, namely, the $(0, \text{id})$ -component of $\rho(P)$ is ∞ since $\rho_{(0,\text{id})}(\Theta(P_{j,l})) = \infty$ for any $j > 0$. Thus we get $\rho(P) = (\infty, \rho_0(P))$ for all $P \in \mathfrak{M}$. Hence the injectivity of $\rho|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ implies the injectivity of $\rho_0|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ on \mathfrak{M} , and hence the injectivity of ρ_∂ .

Since two different reduced \boxplus -sums Q, Q' over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ are of the form $\partial_n(P), \partial_n(P')$ respectively for two different reduced \boxplus -sums $P, P' \in \mathfrak{M}$ over $C(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ which are inequivalent over $C(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$ and hence $\rho_0(P) \neq \rho_0(P')$, we get $\rho_\partial(Q) \neq \rho_\partial(Q')$ showing that Q, Q' are different equivalence classes in $\mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$.

The property that $\Theta(P_{j,l}) \boxplus \Theta'(P_{j',l'}) \sim \Theta(P_{j,l})$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ for $(j', \Theta') \prec (j, \Theta)$ is clearly preserved under the quotient map ∂_n , i.e. $Q_{j,\Theta,l} \boxplus Q_{j',\Theta',l'} \sim Q_{j,\Theta,l}$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$.

□

Theorem 3. For $n > 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) = \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ and $GL_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ is connected, then $\mathfrak{P}'_m(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \mathfrak{P}_m(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$.

Proof. Many arguments used to prove a similar theorem for $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ instead of $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$

can be used again here with minor modifications. In this proof, I and \tilde{I} denote respectively the identity element of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$ and $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$.

Let $P \in \mathfrak{P}_m(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$. The idempotent $\lambda_n(P)$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies that for any $z \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda_n(P)(z)) = \tau_n(P)(\cdot, z) \in M_\infty(C(\mathbb{T}^{m-1}))$$

which is of rank m pointwise, and hence

$$\lambda_n(P)(z) \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) = \mathfrak{P}'_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}),$$

i.e. $\lambda_n(P)(z) \sim \boxplus^m I$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$. As before, for some large k , there is an idempotent-valued continuous loop $\Gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ starting and ending at $\boxplus^m I$ with $\Gamma(e^{i\theta}) = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0)$, say, for all $\theta \in [3\pi/2, 2\pi]$, and homotopic to the loop $\lambda_n(P)$ via idempotents. Consequently, there is a continuous path of invertibles $U_t \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$ with $U_0 = I_k$ such that $U_1 \lambda_n(P) U_1^{-1} = \Gamma$, which can be lifted along λ_n to a continuous path of invertible $V_t \in GL_k(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$ with $V_0 = I_k$ such that $\lambda_n(V_1 P V_1^{-1}) = \Gamma$.

Replacing P by the equivalent idempotent $V_1 P V_1^{-1}$, we may now assume directly that the idempotent $\lambda_n(P)$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ is a continuous loop of idempotents in $M_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ such that $\lambda_n(P)(e^{i\theta}) = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0)$ for all $\theta \in [3\pi/2, 2\pi]$. As before, by the connectedness assumption on $GL_m(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$, after suitably increasing the size k , we can find a well-defined continuous loop

$$W : e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto W_\theta \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}),$$

i.e. $W \in GL_k(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$, satisfying

$$W(\lambda_n(P))W^{-1} = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{k-m} 0).$$

So the idempotent $\lambda_n(P)$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ is equivalent to the idempotent $\boxplus^m I$.

Replacing P by the equivalent idempotent $\tilde{W} (P \boxplus (\boxplus^k 0)) \tilde{W}^{-1}$ for any fixed lifting $\tilde{W} \in GL_{2k}^0(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$ of $W \boxplus W^{-1} \in GL_{2k}^0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$ along λ_n , we may now assume that

$$\lambda_n(P) = (\boxplus^m I) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0) = \lambda_n \left((\boxplus^m \tilde{I}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0) \right)$$

and proceed to show that $P \sim \boxplus^m \tilde{I}$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$, where we use \tilde{I} to denote the identity element in $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ so as to distinguish it from the identity element I of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$.

With $P - \left((\boxplus^m \tilde{I}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0) \right) \in M_{2k}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and $M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ dense in \mathcal{K} , we may replace P by a suitable equivalent idempotent and assume that

$$P = K + \left((\boxplus^m \tilde{I}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0) \right) \in M_{2k} \left((C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes \mathcal{K})^+ \right) \subset M_{2k}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$$

for some $K \in M_{2k}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C}))$ and some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

As before, by rearranging entries via conjugation, we get

$$P \sim \partial_n(U_{k,N}) P \partial_n(U_{k,N}^{-1}) \equiv \partial_n(U_{k,N}) (P \boxplus 0) \partial_n(U_{k,N}^{-1}) = \left((\boxplus^m \tilde{I}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0) \right) \boxplus R$$

for some

$$R \in M_{2kN}(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3})) \equiv C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes M_{2kN}(\mathbb{C}) \subset (C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes \mathcal{K})^+ \subset C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$$

which must be an idempotent over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3})$. More precisely, we can lift P to

$$\hat{P} = \hat{K} + ((\boxplus^m I_{\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0)) \in M_{2k} \left((\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K})^+ \right) \subset M_{2k}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$$

for some $\hat{K} \in M_{2k}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C}))$ and conjugate it by the unitary $U_{k,N}$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ to get the form $((\boxplus^m I_{\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k-m} 0)) \boxplus \hat{R}$ with $\hat{R} \in M_{2kN}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ as we did for the case of

$\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$. Then the above R is $\partial_n(\hat{R})$. Note that even though \hat{P} and \hat{R} are not necessarily idempotents, R is since it is the idempotent P conjugated by the unitary $\partial_n(U_{k,N})$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$.

Since $K_0(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3})) = \mathbb{Z}$ [12], $R \boxplus (\boxplus^r \hat{I}) \sim (\boxplus^{r+[R]} \hat{I})$ for a sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$ where $[R] \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the class of R in $K_0(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}))$ and \hat{I} is the identity element of $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3})$. So there is an invertible $U \in GL_d(C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}))$ for some large $d \geq \max\{2kN + r, r + [R]\}$ such that

$$U \left(R \boxplus (\boxplus^{d-2kN-r} 0) \boxplus (\boxplus^r \hat{I}) \right) U^{-1} = (\boxplus^{d-r-[R]} 0) \boxplus (\boxplus^{r+[R]} \hat{I}).$$

As before, with $m > 0$, by rearranging entries via conjugation, we can get

$$P \sim R' \boxplus (\boxplus^{m-1} \tilde{I}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k+1-m} 0)$$

where the idempotent

$$R' = (R \boxplus (\boxplus^{d-2kN-r} 0)) + (\tilde{I} - \hat{I} \otimes P_{d-r}) \in (C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes \mathcal{K})^+ \subset C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$$

when conjugated by the invertible $U \equiv U \boxplus (\tilde{I} - \hat{I} \otimes P_d) \in (C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ becomes

$$(\boxplus^{d-r-[R]} 0) \boxplus (\tilde{I} - \hat{I} \otimes P_{d-r-[R]}) \in (C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-3}) \otimes \mathcal{K})^+ \subset C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}).$$

So we get

$$P \sim \left((\boxplus^{d-r-[R]} 0) \boxplus (\tilde{I} - \hat{I} \otimes P_{d-r-[R]}) \right) \boxplus (\boxplus^{m-1} \tilde{I}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k+1-m} 0),$$

the latter of which as before is equivalent to $\tilde{I} \boxplus (\boxplus^{m-1} \tilde{I}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k+1-m} 0)$ by a further conjugation by $U_{d-r-[R]}^{-1}$. Thus $P \sim (\boxplus^m \tilde{I}) \boxplus (\boxplus^{2k+1-m} 0) \equiv \boxplus^m \tilde{I}$.

□

Corollary 4. $\mathfrak{P}_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \mathfrak{P}'_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) \equiv \left\{ \boxplus^m \tilde{I} \right\}$ for all $m \geq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 3$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \tilde{I} is the identity element of $C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$.

Proof. The case of $n = 1$ is well known. For $n > 1$, since $\mathfrak{P}'_m (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}) = \mathfrak{P}_m (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ for all $m \geq \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor + 3$ and $GL_m (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1})$ is connected for all $m \geq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 3$, the above theorem implies that $\mathfrak{P}'_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})) = \mathfrak{P}_m (C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))$ for all $m \geq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 3$.

□

It is not clear whether there are (low-rank) idempotents over $C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ of non-standard (equivalence) type and whether the cancellation law holds for them.

7 Projective modules over $C (\mathbb{P}^{n-1} (\mathcal{T}))$

In this section we study the problem of classification of finitely generated projective modules over the multipullback quantum complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} (\mathcal{T})$ that was introduced and studied by Hajac, Kaygun, Zieliński in [9].

In [12], $K_0 (C (\mathbb{P}^{n-1} (\mathcal{T}))) = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $K_1 (C (\mathbb{P}^{n-1} (\mathcal{T}))) = 0$ are computed, and $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} (\mathcal{T})$ is shown to be a quantum quotient space of \mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1} . More precisely, the C*-algebra $C (\mathbb{P}^{n-1} (\mathcal{T}))$ is isomorphic to the invariant C*-subalgebra $(C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}))^{U(1)}$ of $C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ under the canonical diagonal $U(1)$ -action on $C (\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}) \cong \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} / \mathcal{K}^{\otimes n}$, which in the groupoid context can be implemented by the multiplication operator

$$U_\zeta : f \in C_c (\mathfrak{G}_n) \mapsto h_\zeta f \in C_c (\mathfrak{G}_n)$$

for $\zeta \in U(1) \equiv \mathbb{T}$ where

$$h_\zeta : (m, p) \in \mathfrak{G}_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}^n} \mapsto \zeta^{\Sigma m} \in \mathbb{T} \quad \text{with } \Sigma m := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$$

is a groupoid character. Then $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ is realized as the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0)$ of the subgroupoid $(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ of \mathfrak{G}_n , where

$$(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k := \{(m, p) \in \mathfrak{G}_n : \Sigma m = k\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ becomes a (completion of the) graded algebra $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{C_c((\mathfrak{G}_n)_k)}$ with the component $\overline{C_c((\mathfrak{G}_n)_k)}$ being the quantum line bundle $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})_k$ [12] of degree k over the quantum space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})$.

It is easy to see that the standard projections $Q_{j,\Theta,l} \equiv \partial_n(\Theta(P_{j,l}))$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ with $j, l > 0$ found in the previous section lie in $M_\infty(C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0))$ since $P_{j,l} = \boxplus^l((\otimes^j I) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1))$ is in $C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0)$, and hence are also projections over $C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0) \equiv C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$. Furthermore with $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})) \subset C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$, inequivalent \boxplus -sums of standard projections over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ must be inequivalent over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ as well.

Proposition 6. Different reduced \boxplus -sums of standard projections $Q_{j,\Theta,l}$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ with $j, l > 0$ when viewed as projections over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ are mutually inequivalent over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$, and they form a graded submonoid

$$\mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))) = \sqcup_{m=0}^\infty \mathfrak{P}'_m(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$$

of the monoid $\mathfrak{P}(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$. Furthermore the monoid homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{P}'(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))) \rightarrow \prod_{(j,\Theta) \in \Omega_0} \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq}$$

inherited from ρ_∂ is injective.

However, for $(j', \Theta') \prec (j, \Theta)$ with $j, j', l, l' > 0$, it is no longer true in general that $Q_{j, \Theta, l} \boxplus Q_{j', \Theta', l'} \sim Q_{j, \Theta, l}$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$, even though $Q_{j, \Theta, l} \boxplus Q_{j', \Theta', l'} \sim Q_{j, \Theta, l}$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ since the invertible matrix over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ intertwining $Q_{j, \Theta, l} \boxplus Q_{j', \Theta', l'}$ and $Q_{j, \Theta, l}$ may not be replaced by one over the subalgebra $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ of $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$.

In the following, we show that the standard projections $Q_{j, \text{id}, 1}$ with $j > 0$ provide a set of representatives of K_0 -classes that freely generate the abelian K_0 -group of $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$.

The subgroupoid $\mathfrak{H}_j := \mathfrak{G}_j \times (\mathbb{Z}^{n-j} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j})$ of \mathfrak{G}_n for $1 \leq j \leq n$ is the groupoid \mathfrak{G}_n restricted to the open invariant subset $(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^j} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n$ and inherits the grading of \mathfrak{G}_n . The grade-0 part $(\mathfrak{H}_j)_0$ of \mathfrak{H}_j is the groupoid $(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ restricted to $(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^j} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n$, and from the increasing chain of $(\mathfrak{H}_j)_0$, we get an increasing composition sequence of closed ideals of $C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0)$ as

$$0 =: C^*((\mathfrak{H}_0)_0) \triangleleft C^*((\mathfrak{H}_1)_0) \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft C^*((\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})_0) \triangleleft C^*((\mathfrak{H}_n)_0) = C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0)$$

such that with $(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^j} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}) \setminus (\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{j-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j+1}) = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{j-1}} \times \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}$,

$$C^*((\mathfrak{H}_j)_0) / C^*((\mathfrak{H}_{j-1})_0) \cong C^*\left(\left(\mathfrak{G}_n \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{j-1}} \times \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}}\right)_0\right) \cong C^*\left(\mathfrak{T}_{n-1} \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{j-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}}\right) \cong \mathcal{T}^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j})$$

because the groupoid $\left(\mathfrak{G}_n \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{j-1}} \times \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}}\right)_0$ is isomorphic to the groupoid $\mathfrak{T}_{n-1} \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{j-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}}$ via the groupoid isomorphism

$$(m, k, l, p, \infty, q) \mapsto (m, l, p, q)$$

where

$$(m, k, l, p, \infty, q) \in \mathfrak{G}_n \Big|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{j-1}} \times \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{j-1} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-j} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{j-1}} \times \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i + k + \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} l_i = 0$ and hence $k = -\sum m - \sum l$ determined by m, l .

Since $K_1(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j})) = 0$ and $K_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j})) = \mathbb{Z}$, it is easy to conclude from the cyclic six-term exact sequence of K -groups for the pair $C^*((\mathfrak{H}_{j-1})_0) \triangleleft C^*((\mathfrak{H}_j)_0)$ that the following sequence is exact and splits

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(C^*((\mathfrak{H}_{j-1})_0)) \rightarrow K_0(C^*((\mathfrak{H}_j)_0)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where the projection $(\otimes^{j-1} I) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1)$ is a generator of $K_0(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}))$. Note that this $(\otimes^{j-1} I) \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1)$ lifts to the projection element $\chi_{A_j} \in C_c((\mathfrak{H}_j)_0) \subset C^*((\mathfrak{H}_j)_0)$ given by the characteristic function of the set

$$A_j := \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^j} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^j\right) \times \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{H}_j \subset \mathbb{Z}^j \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-j} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^j} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-j}.$$

Furthermore $\chi_{A_j} = Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ in $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})) \subset C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$. So we get

$$K_0(C^*((\mathfrak{H}_j)_0)) \cong K_0(C^*((\mathfrak{H}_{j-1})_0)) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[Q_{j,\Theta,1}]$$

with $K_0(C^*((\mathfrak{H}_{j-1})_0))$ canonically embedded in $K_0(C^*((\mathfrak{H}_j)_0))$.

Putting together these results for all j , we get

$$K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))) \cong K_0(C^*((\mathfrak{H}_n)_0)) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}[Q_{j,\text{id},1}] \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$$

and hence $Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ freely generate the abelian group $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$. Note that $Q_{j,\text{id},l} = \bigoplus^l Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ and $[Q_{j,\text{id},l}] = l[Q_{j,\text{id},1}]$ in $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

We now summarize the above discussion.

Theorem 4. The projections $Q_{j,\Theta,l}$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and Θ a $(j, n-j)$ -shuffle for $0 < j \leq n$ are mutually inequivalent, and the projections $Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ with $0 < j \leq n$

freely generate the abelian group $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$, such that if $[p] = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j [Q_{j,\text{id},1}]$ for a projection p over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$, then the coefficient m_n of $[Q_{n,\text{id},1}]$ is the rank of p .

Proof. We only need to note that the rank of $Q_{n,\text{id},1}$ is 1 and the rank of any other $Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ is 0. \square

This shows that for $(j', \text{id}) \prec (j, \text{id})$ in Ω_0 , i.e. $0 < j' < j$, it is not true that $Q_{j,\text{id},1} \boxplus Q_{j',\text{id},1} \sim Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ because

$$[Q_{j,\text{id},1} \boxplus Q_{j',\text{id},1}] = [Q_{j,\text{id},1}] + [Q_{j',\text{id},1}] \neq [Q_{j,\text{id},1}]$$

in $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$.

Next we consider the positive cone of $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$. In the following, we use \hat{I} and \tilde{I} to denote the identity elements of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ respectively.

First, it is easy to see that for $k > 0$, the projection $\hat{I} \otimes P_k$ is a sum of k mutually orthogonal projections $\hat{I} \otimes e_{jj}$, each equivalent to $\hat{I} \otimes P_1$ over $(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K})^+ \subset \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$, and hence the projection $\partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_k)$ is a sum of k mutually orthogonal projections $\partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes e_{jj})$, each equivalent to $\partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_1)$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$. So

$$\hat{I} \otimes P_k \sim \boxplus^k (\hat{I} \otimes P_1) \equiv \boxplus^k P_{n-1,1} \equiv P_{n-1,k} \quad \text{over } (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{K})^+ \subset \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$$

and $\partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_k) \sim \boxplus^k \partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_1) \equiv \boxplus^k \partial_n(Q_{n-1,\text{id},1}) \equiv \partial_n(Q_{n-1,\text{id},k})$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$. Similarly, by rearranging entries via conjugation by shifts, the projection $\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k}$ is equivalent to \tilde{I} over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$, and hence $\partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k}) \sim \partial_n(\tilde{I})$ over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$. However such equivalences no longer hold over the algebra $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})) \subset C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$. For example, $\partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k}) \boxplus \partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_k) \sim \partial_n(\tilde{I})$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ since $\partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k})$ and $\partial_n(\hat{I} \otimes P_k)$ are orthogonal projections in $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$

which add up to \tilde{I} . So

$$\left[\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-1} \right) \right] = \left[\partial_n \left(\tilde{I} \right) \right] - \left[\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_1 \right) \right] = [Q_{n,\text{id},1}] - [Q_{n-1,\text{id},1}] \quad \text{in } K_0 \left(C \left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \left(\mathcal{T} \right) \right) \right)$$

showing that

$$\left[\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-1} \right) \right] \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \times \{-1\} \times \{1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \cong K_0 \left(C \left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \left(\mathcal{T} \right) \right) \right)$$

and $\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-1} \right)$ is not even stably equivalent over $C \left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \left(\mathcal{T} \right) \right)$ to any \boxplus -sum of the K_0 -generating projections $Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ with $0 < j \leq n$.

From now on, we include all projections of the form $\partial_n \left((\otimes^{j-1} I) \otimes P_k \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1) \right)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as elementary projections over $C \left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \left(\mathcal{T} \right) \right)$, where it is understood that for $k = 0$, we take $P_k := P_{-0} \equiv I$ instead of $P_0 \equiv 0$.

Theorem 5. The positive cone of $K_0 \left(C \left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \left(\mathcal{T} \right) \right) \right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \equiv \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z} [Q_{j,\text{id},1}]$ contains

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n : z_j < 0 = z_{j+1} = \dots = z_n \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

which is the part of the cone generated/spanned by the equivalence classes of the elementary projections $\partial_n \left((\otimes^{j-1} I) \otimes P_k \otimes (\otimes^{n-j} P_1) \right)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, where for $k = 0$, we take $P_k := P_{-0} \equiv I$.

Proof. In [29], it has been established that in the case of $n = 2$, the positive cone of

$$K_0 \left(C \left(\mathbb{P}^1 \left(\mathcal{T} \right) \right) \right) = \mathbb{Z} [Q_{1,\text{id},1}] \oplus \mathbb{Z} [Q_{2,\text{id},1}] \equiv \mathbb{Z} [\partial_2 (I \otimes P_1)] \oplus \mathbb{Z} [\partial_2 (I \otimes I)] \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

consists of $(k, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with either $k \geq 0$ or the rank $m > 0$, such that $[\partial_2 (I \otimes P_k)] = k [\partial_2 (I \otimes P_1)] = (k, 0)$ and

$$[\partial_2 (I \otimes P_{-k})] = [\partial_2 (I \otimes I)] - k [\partial_2 (I \otimes P_1)] = (-k, 1)$$

in $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{T})))$ for all $k > 0$.

By induction on n , we can show that the positive cone of

$$K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))) = \mathbb{Z}[Q_{1,\text{id},1}] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}[Q_{n,\text{id},1}] \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$$

contains the set $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{N})$ consisting of $(k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with either $k_j \geq 0$ for all j or the rank $m > 0$.

Indeed, under the canonical embedding

$$\iota : C(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{T})) \cong C^*((\mathfrak{G}_{n-1})_0) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})) \cong C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0)$$

due to the degree-preserving groupoid embedding of $(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-1})|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1}}$ in $(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^n}$ as $((\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \{0\}) \times (\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-1} \times \{0\}))|_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{0\}}$, a projection p (for example, $\partial_{n-1}(P_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{k_{n-1}})$) over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{T}))$ becomes the projection $p \otimes P_1$ (for example, $\partial_n(P_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{k_{n-1}} \otimes P_1)$) over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$. Furthermore if $p \sim q$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{T}))$, say, $upu^{-1} = q$ for some $u \in GL_{\infty}(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{T})))$ then the equivalence $p \otimes P_1 \sim q \otimes P_1$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ can be explicitly constructed as

$$((u \otimes P_1) + \partial_n(I \otimes P_{-1}))(p \otimes P_1)((u \otimes P_1) + \partial_n(I \otimes P_{-1}))^{-1} = q \otimes P_1$$

with $(u \otimes P_1) + \partial_n(I \otimes P_{-1}) \in GL_{\infty}(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$. Now consider the well-defined group homomorphism

$$K_0(\iota) : K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{T}))) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \rightarrow K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$$

mapping the positive cone of $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{T})))$ into that of $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$. Since under ι , the projection $Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{T}))$ for $0 < j \leq n-1$ is sent to the projection $Q_{j,\text{id},1}$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$, by induction hypothesis, we get that the positive cone

of $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-2} \times \{0\} \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{N} \times \{0\})$, and hence $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq})$.

On the other hand, for $k > 0$,

$$\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k} = \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-(k+1)} \right) \boxplus \left(\hat{I} \otimes e_{kk} \right) \sim \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-(k+1)} \right) \boxplus (I' \otimes P_{-(k-1)} \otimes P_1) \text{ over } \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$$

where I' denotes the identity element of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-2}$, because $\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k}$ is the sum of orthogonal projections $\left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-(k+1)} \right)$ and $\left(\hat{I} \otimes e_{kk} \right)$, and $\left(\hat{I} \otimes e_{kk} \right) \boxplus 0$ when conjugated by

$$u_k := \begin{pmatrix} I' \otimes I \otimes P_{k-1} & I' \otimes (\mathcal{S}^{k-1})^* \otimes \mathcal{S}^{k-1} \\ I' \otimes \mathcal{S}^{k-1} \otimes (\mathcal{S}^{k-1})^* & I' \otimes P_{k-1} \otimes I \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$$

becomes $0 \boxplus (I' \otimes P_{-(k-1)} \otimes P_1)$. Since $\partial_n(u_k)$ of total degree 0 is in $M_2(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$, we get

$$\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k} \right) \sim \partial_n \left(\left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-(k+1)} \right) \right) \boxplus \iota \left(\partial_{n-1} (I' \otimes P_{-(k-1)}) \right) \text{ over } C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$$

and hence

$$\left[\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k} \right) \right] - \left[\partial_n \left(\left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-(k+1)} \right) \right) \right] \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \times \{1\} \times \{0\} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^n$$

because $\left[\partial_{n-1} (I' \otimes P_{-(k-1)}) \right] \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \times \{1\}$ for the rank-one projection $I' \otimes P_{-(k-1)}$ over $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$. With

$$\left[\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-1} \right) \right] = \left[\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \right) \right] - \left[\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_1 \right) \right] = (0, \dots, 0, -1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

we get inductively

$$\left[\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k} \right) \right] \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \times \{-k\} \times \{1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \cong K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$$

for all $k > 0$. Thus the positive cone of $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \{1\})$ and hence $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1} \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{N})$. On the other hand, the positive cone of $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{T})))$ is mapped into the positive cone of $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T})))$ by the homomorphism $\cdot \times \{0\} \equiv K_0(\iota)$. So it is easy to get inductively the conclusion. \square

We note that for the case of $n = 2$, the finitely generated projective modules over $C(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{T}))$ are completely classified with the positive cone of $K_0(C(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{T})))$ explicitly identified in [29].

8 Quantum line bundles

In this section, we identify the quantum line bundles $L_k := C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})_k$ of degree k over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ with a concrete (equivalence class of) projection described in terms of the elementary projections defined in the previous section. We continue to use \hat{I} and \tilde{I} to denote the identity elements of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n-1}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$ respectively, and we start to use $0^{(l)}$ to denote the zero of \mathbb{Z}^l .

To distinguish between ordinary function product and convolution product, we denote the groupoid C*-algebraic (convolution) multiplication of elements in $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \subset C^*(\mathcal{G})$ by $*$, while omitting $*$ when the elements are presented as operators or when they are multiplied together pointwise as functions on \mathcal{G} . We also view $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ or $C_c((\mathfrak{G}_n)_k)$ (also abbreviated as $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k$) as left $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -modules with $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ carrying the convolution algebra structure as a subalgebra of the groupoid C*-algebra $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)$. Similarly, for a closed subset X of the unit space of \mathfrak{G}_n , the inverse image $\mathfrak{G}_n \downarrow_X$ of X under the source map of \mathfrak{G}_n or its grade- k

component $(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_X)_k$ gives rise to a left $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_X)$ or $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_X)_k$.

We define a partial isometry in $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1}) \equiv C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as the characteristic function χ_{B_k} of the compact open set

$$B_k := \left\{ (0, k, p, q) \in \mathfrak{G}_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}} : q + k \geq 0 \right\} \subset \mathfrak{G}_n.$$

It is easy to verify that $\chi_{B_k} \in C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k$ and $(\chi_{B_k})^* \in C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-k}$ such that

$$(\chi_{B_k})^* * \chi_{B_k} = \begin{cases} \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times (\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)} = 1_{C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)} \equiv 1_{C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0} & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq |k|}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)} = \partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-|k|} \right) & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\chi_{B_k} * (\chi_{B_k})^* = \begin{cases} \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq k}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)} = \partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k} \right) & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times (\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)} = 1_{C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)} \equiv 1_{C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0} & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases}.$$

For $k \geq 0$, we have $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k * (\chi_{B_k})^* \subset C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ and

$$(C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k * (\chi_{B_k})^*) * \chi_{B_k} = C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k$$

which implies that the convolution operator $\cdot * \chi_{B_k}$ maps $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ onto $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k$. Since $\chi_{B_k} * (\chi_{B_k})^* = \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq k}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)}$, we get $\cdot * \chi_{B_k}$ mapping $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq k}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)}$ bijectively onto $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k$ with $\cdot * (\chi_{B_k})^*$ as the inverse. Furthermore $\cdot * \chi_{B_k}$ is a left $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module homomorphism. With χ_{B_k} being a partial isometry, $\cdot * \chi_{B_k}$ and $\cdot * (\chi_{B_k})^*$ extend continuously to provide an isomorphism between the $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -modules

$$C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq k}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)} \equiv C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k} \right)$$

and $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k \equiv \overline{C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k}$. So the quantum line bundle $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k$ is identified with the projection $\partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_{-k} \right)$.

For $k < 0$, we consider the direct sum decomposition as left $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k &= \left(C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times (\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{0, 1, \dots, |k|-1\}} \right) \oplus \left(C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq |k|}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)} \right) \\ &= C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{0, 1, \dots, |k|-1\}} \right)_k \oplus \left(C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq |k|}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From

$$C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 * \chi_{B_k} * (\chi_{B_k})^* \equiv C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 * 1_{C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)} = C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$$

and

$$C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k * (\chi_{B_k})^* * \chi_{B_k} = C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq |k|}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)}$$

we see that $\cdot * \chi_{B_{|k|}}$ is a left $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module isomorphism between $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ and $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq |k|}}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)}$ with $\cdot * (\chi_{B_k})^*$ as its inverse.

On the other hand, in the $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module decomposition

$$C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{0, 1, \dots, |k|-1\}} \right)_k = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{|k|-1} C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{j\}} \right)_k,$$

each $C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{j\}} \right)_k$ is isomorphic to the $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module $C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{0\}} \right)_{k+j}$ with $k+j < 0$ via the homeomorphism

$$(m, l, p, j) \in \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{j\}} \right)_k \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \mapsto (m, l+j, p, 0) \in \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{0\}} \right)_{k+j}$$

where the implicit condition $l+j \geq 0$ is equivalent to $l \geq -j$. So we focus on analyzing

$C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -modules of the form

$$C_c \left(\left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{0\}} \right)_{-r} \right) = C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-r} * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{0\})} = C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-r} \partial_n \left(\hat{I} \otimes P_1 \right)$$

with $r \geq 0$. Note that the $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module

$$\overline{C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (\hat{I} \otimes P_1)} = C^*(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (\hat{I} \otimes P_1)$$

is identified with the projection $\partial_n (\hat{I} \otimes P_1) \equiv Q_{n-1, \text{id}, 1}$.

For $r > 0$, similar to the argument used above, it can be checked that the compact open subset

$$B'_{-r} := \left\{ (0, -r, 0, p, q, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-2}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq} : q \geq r \right\} \subset \mathfrak{G}_n$$

defines a partial isometry $\chi_{B'_{-r}} \in C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-r}$ with $(\chi_{B'_{-r}})^* \in C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_r$ such that

$$(\chi_{B'_{-r}})^* \chi_{B'_{-r}} = \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-2}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq r} \times \{0\}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_\geq^n)} = \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-2} \otimes P_{-r} \otimes I)$$

and

$$\chi_{B'_{-r}} * (\chi_{B'_{-r}})^* = \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times (\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-1}) \times \{0\}}.$$

In the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} & C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-r} * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-1}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-1}) \times \{0\})} \\ &= \left(C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-r} * \left(\chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times (\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-2}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-2}) \times \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\} \times \{0\}} \right) \right) \oplus \left(C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-r} * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-2}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq r} \times \{0\}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_\geq^n)} \right) \\ &= C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-2}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-2}) \times \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\} \times \{0\}} \right)_{-r} \oplus \left(C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-r} * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-2}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq r} \times \{0\}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_\geq^n)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the second summand is isomorphic, via the right convolution $\cdot * (\chi_{B'_{-r}})^*$ by the partial

isometry $(\chi_{B'_{-r}})^*$, to the $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module

$$C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\geq^{n-1}} \times \{0\}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_\geq^n)} = C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (\hat{I} \otimes P_1).$$

Now we introduce the notation of a $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module

$$A_{r,l} := C_c \left(\left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^l \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^l) \times \{0^{(n-l)}\}} \right)_{-r} \right) \subset C_c((\mathfrak{G}_n)_{-r}) \subset C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)$$

for $r \geq 0$ and $1 \leq l \leq n-1$. We note that the $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -module

$$A_{r,1} = C_c \left(\left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{\{\infty\} \times \{0^{(n-1)}\}} \right)_{-r} \right)$$

is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} C_c \left(\left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{\{\infty\} \times \{0^{(n-1)}\}} \right)_0 \right) &= C_c \left(\left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq} \times \{0^{(n-1)}\}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n} \right)_0 \right) \\ &\equiv C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq} \times \{0^{(n-1)}\}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^n)} = C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

via the homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (s, t, \infty, 0^{(n-1)}) \in \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{\{\infty\} \times \{0^{(n-1)}\}} \right)_{-r} &\subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \{\infty\} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}} \\ &\mapsto (s+r, t, \infty, 0^{(n-1)}) \in \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{\{\infty\} \times \{0^{(n-1)}\}} \right)_0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same kind of arguments as shown above, we get the isomorphism of $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} A_{r,l} &\cong C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{l-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{l-1}) \times \{0,1,\dots,r-1\} \times \{0^{(n-l)}\}} \right)_{-r} \oplus \left(C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 * \chi_{\{0^{(n)}\} \times ((\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^l \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^l) \times \{0^{(n-l)}\})} \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{l-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{l-1}) \times \{j\} \times \{0^{(n-l)}\}} \right)_{-r} \oplus (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes l} \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-l})) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{l-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{l-1}) \times \{0\} \times \{0^{(n-l)}\}} \right)_{-r+j} \oplus (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes l} \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-l})) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} A_{r-j,l-1} \oplus (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes l} \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-l})) \end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq l \leq n-1$. This provides a recursive formula to reduce the index l of the module $A_{r,l}$.

For $n > 2$ fixed, we define a combinatorial number $\nu_n(k, m, l)$ recursively by

$$\nu_n(k, m, l) := \sum_{s=0}^m \nu_n(k, s, l-1)$$

and $\nu_n(k, m, 1) := 1$, for $k > m \geq 0$ and $2 \leq l \leq n-1$, to be used in the following theorem.

Theorem 6. For $n > 2$, the quantum line bundle $L_k \equiv C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})_k$ of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ is isomorphic to the finitely generated projective left module over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$ determined by the projection $\partial_n(\otimes^{n-1}I \otimes P_{-k})$ if $k \geq 0$, and the projection

$$\left(\boxplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} (|k|-m)\nu_n(|k|, m, n-2) \partial_n(I \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-1}) \right) \boxplus \left(\boxplus_{l=1}^{n-1} \boxplus \nu_n(|k|, |k|-1, l) \partial_n(I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1}) \right)$$

if $k < 0$.

Proof. Only the case of $k < 0$ remains to be proved as follows.

For $k < 0$, starting with the established isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k &= C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{0, 1, \dots, |k|-1\}} \right)_k \oplus C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \\ &= \bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} C_c \left(\mathfrak{G}_n \upharpoonright_{(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{n-1} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{n-1}) \times \{m\}} \right)_k \oplus C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} A_{|k|-m, n-1} \oplus C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0, \end{aligned}$$

we apply repeatedly the recursive formula

$$A_{r,l} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} A_{r-j, l-1} \oplus (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n(I^{\otimes l} \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-l}))$$

reducing l for $A_{r,l}$ with $2 \leq l \leq n$ until l reaches 2 with

$$A_{r,2} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n(I \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-1})) \right) \oplus (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n(I^{\otimes 2} \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-2})),$$

in order to convert all terms to $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0$ -modules of the form $C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes j} \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-j})$ for some $0 < j \leq n$.

In fact, we check inductively on $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$ that

$$(*) \quad C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,m,j)} A_{|k|-m,n-j}) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^j (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,|k|-1,l)} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1})).$$

The case of $j = 1$ is our starting point already proved. Now assuming that it holds for j , we get by the above recursive formula

$$\begin{aligned} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k &\cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} \oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,m,j)} \left(\left(\bigoplus_{s=0}^{|k|-m-1} A_{|k|-m-s,n-j-1} \right) \oplus (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-j} \otimes P_1^{\otimes j})) \right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^j (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,|k|-1,l)} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1})) \right) \\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} \oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,m,j)} \bigoplus_{s=0}^{|k|-m-1} A_{|k|-m-s,n-j-1} \right) \oplus \left(\oplus^{\sum_{m=0}^{|k|-1} \nu_n(|k|,m,j)} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-j} \otimes P_1^{\otimes j}) \right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^j (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,|k|-1,l)} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1})) \right) \\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} \oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,m,j)} \bigoplus_{s=0}^{|k|-m-1} A_{|k|-m-s,n-j-1} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{j+1} (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,|k|-1,l)} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1})) \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} \bigoplus_{s=0}^m \oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,s,j)} A_{|k|-m,n-j-1} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{j+1} (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,|k|-1,l)} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1})) \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} \oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,m,j+1)} A_{|k|-m,n-j-1} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{j+1} (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,|k|-1,l)} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1})), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (*) for $j + 1$.

For $j = n - 2$, (*) says

$$C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_k \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,m,n-2)} A_{|k|-m,2}) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n-2} (\oplus^{\nu_n(|k|,|k|-1,l)} C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} \bigoplus \nu_n(|k|, m, n-2) \left(\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{|k|-m-1} (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-1})) \right) \oplus (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes 2} \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-2})) \right) \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n-2} \left(\bigoplus \nu_n(|k|, |k|-1, l) C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1}) \right) \\
& \cong \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} (|k|-m) \nu_n(|k|, m, n-2) C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-1}) \right) \\
& \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} \nu_n(|k|, m, n-2) (C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes 2} \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-2})) \right) \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n-2} \left(\bigoplus \nu_n(|k|, |k|-1, l) C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1}) \right) \\
& \cong \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} (|k|-m) \nu_n(|k|, m, n-2) C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-1}) \right) \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\bigoplus \nu_n(|k|, |k|-1, l) C_c(\mathfrak{G}_n)_0 \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

After completion, we get the $C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0)$ -module L_k isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} (|k|-m) \nu_n(|k|, m, n-2) C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0) \partial_n (I \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-1}) \right) \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\bigoplus \nu_n(|k|, |k|-1, l) C^*((\mathfrak{G}_n)_0) \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to the projection

$$\left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{|k|-1} (|k|-m) \nu_n(|k|, m, n-2) \partial_n (I \otimes P_1^{\otimes n-1}) \right) \boxplus \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\bigoplus \nu_n(|k|, |k|-1, l) \partial_n (I^{\otimes n-l+1} \otimes P_1^{\otimes l-1}) \right) \right).$$

□

Little is known about the cancellation problem and hence the classification problem for finitely generated projective modules over $C(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{T}))$. We expect that these problems will be far more complicated than those for over $C(\mathbb{S}_H^{2n-1})$ and $C(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$.

References

- [1] K. A. Bach, *A cancellation problem for quantum spheres*, Thesis, U. of Kansas, Lawrence, 2003.
- [2] P. Baum, P. Hajac, R. Matthes, and W. Szymański, *The K-theory of Heegaard-type quantum 3-sphere*, *K-Theory* 35 (2005), no. 1-2, 159–186.
- [3] B. Blackadar, “*K-theory for Operator Algebras*”, MSRI Publications Vol. 5,. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd ed., 1998.
- [4] T. Brzeziński and S. A. Fairfax, *Quantum teardrops*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 316 (2012), 151-170.
- [5] A. Connes, “*Noncommutative Geometry*”, Academic Press, New York, 1994.
- [6] R. E. Curto and P. S. Muhly, *C*-algebras of multiplication operators on Bergman spaces*, *J. Func. Anal.* 64 (1985), 315-329.
- [7] C. Farsi, P. M. Hajac, T. Maszczyk, and B. Zieliński, *Rank-two Milnor idempotents for the multipullback quantum complex projective plane*, arXiv:1708.04426.
- [8] P. M. Hajac, *Strong connections on quantum principal bundles*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 182 (1996), 579–617.
- [9] P. M. Hajac, A. Kaygun, and B. Zieliński, *Quantum complex projective spaces from Toeplitz cubes*, *J. Noncomm. Geom.* 6 (2012), 603-621.

- [10] P. M. Hajac, R. Matthes, and W. Szymański, *Chern numbers for two families of non-commutative Hopf fibrations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003), 925-930.
- [11] P. M. Hajac, R. Matthes, and W. Szymański, *Noncommutative index theory for mirror quantum spheres*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 343 (2006), 731-736.
- [12] P. Hajac, R. Nest, D. Pask, A. Sims, and B. Zielinski, *The K-theory of twisted multipullback quantum odd spheres and complex projective spaces*, arXiv:1512.08816v2.
- [13] R. H. Herman and L. N. Vaserstein, *The stable range of C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 553-555.
- [14] U. Meyer, *Projective quantum spaces*, Lett. Math. Phys. 35 (1995), 91-97.
- [15] P. S. Muhly and J. N. Renault, *C^* -algebras of multivariable Wiener-Hopf operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274 (1982), 1-44.
- [16] G. Nagy, *Stable rank of C^* -algebras of Toeplitz operators on polydisks*, Operators in Indefinite Metric Spaces, Scattering Theory and Other Topics (Bucharest, 1985), 227-235, Oper.Theory Adv.Appl., 24, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1987.
- [17] V. Nistor, *Stable range for tensor products of extensions of \mathcal{K} by $C(X)$* , J. Operator Theory, 16 (1986), no. 2, 387-396.
- [18] G. K. Pedersen, *Pullback and pushout constructions in C^* -algebra theory*, J. Func. Anal. 267 (1999), 243-344.

- [19] M. A. Peterka, *Finitely-generated projective modules over the θ -deformed 4-sphere*, Comm. Math. Phys. 321, 577–603 (2013).
- [20] J. Renault, “*A Groupoid Approach to C^* -algebras*”, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 793, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [21] M. A. Rieffel, *Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 46 (1983), 301-333.
- [22] ———, *The cancellation theorem for projective modules over irrational rotation C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 47 (1983), 285-302.
- [23] ———, *Projective modules over higher-dimensional noncommutative tori*, Canad. J. Math., 40 (1988), 257–338.
- [24] N. Salinas, A. J. L. Sheu, and H. Upmeyer, *Toeplitz operators on pseudoconvex domains and foliation C^* -algebras*, Ann. Math., 130 (1989), 531-565.
- [25] A. J. L. Sheu, *A cancellation theorem for modules over the group C^* -algebras of certain nilpotent Lie groups*, Canad. J. Math., 39 (1987), 365-427.
- [26] ———, *Compact quantum groups and groupoid C^* -algebras*, J. Func. Anal. 144 (1997), 371-393.
- [27] ———, *Quantization of the Poisson $SU(2)$ and its Poisson homogeneous space - the 2-sphere*, Comm. Math. Phys. 135 (1991), 217-232.
- [28] ———, *The structure of line bundles over quantum teardrops*, SIGMA 10 (2014), 027.

- [29] ———, *Projective modules over quantum projective line*, International J. Math., 28 (2017), 1750022.
- [30] R. W. Swan, *Vector bundles and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 705 (1962), 264-277.
- [31] J. Taylor, *Banach algebras and topology*, in “Algebras in Analysis”, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [32] L. L. Vaksman and Ya. S. Soibelman, *The algebra of functions on the quantum group $SU(n + 1)$, and odd-dimensional quantum spheres*, Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), 1023-1042.
- [33] G. W. Whitehead, “*Elements of Homotopy Theory*”, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [34] S. L. Woronowicz, *Compact quantum groups*, in “Les Houches, Session LXIV, 1995, Quantum Symmetries”, Elsevier, 1998, pp. 845-884.
- [35] ———, *Compact matrix pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. 111 (1987), 613-665.